

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/699,402	10/31/2000	Masahiro Matsuo	3064NG/49341	6990
7590 07/03/2007 Crowell & Moring LLP			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Group			MOORTHY, ARAVIND K	
P.O. Box 14300 Washington, Do		•	. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	,		07/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/699,402	MATSUO, MASAHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aravind K. Moorthy	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M	<u>arch 2007</u> .					
·	,					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	o3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 2-13,16-20 and 22-25 is/are pending if 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 2-13,16-20 and 22-25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2000 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
. Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is in response to the appeal brief filed on 1 March 2007.

2. Claims 2-13, 16-20 and 22-25 are pending in the application.

3. Claims 2-13, 16-20 and 22-25 have been rejected.

4. Claims 1, 14, 15 and 21 have been cancelled.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-13, 16-20 and 22-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In view of the appeal brief filed on 1 March 2007, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

Application/Control Number: 09/699,402 Page 3

Art Unit: 2131

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 2-20, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grunbok, Jr. et al U.S. Patent No. 6,305,603 B1.

As to claim 2, Grunbok et al discloses a network apparatus comprising:

a main device linked to a network represented by the Internet [column 6 line 61 to column 7 line 10], and

a portable remote controller device for remotely controlling the main device by means of communication, wherein the remote controller device includes:

access destination specifying means for specifying an access destination to the main device [column 4, lines 14-24];

display means for displaying information sent from the main device; [column 5, lines 14-37]

identification code storage means for storing an identification code identifying itself; the access destination specifying means serving as means for sending the identification code [column 4, lines 25-37]; and the main device includes:

Application/Control Number: 09/699,402 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

access means for accessing the access destination specified by the remote controller device and obtaining information therefrom [column 4, lines 14-24];

information sending means for sending the information obtained by the access means to the remote controller device [column 5, lines 14-37]; and

access destination storage means for storing the identification code of the remote controller device and the access destination in a one-to-one correspondence [column 4, lines 25-37];

the access means serving as means for accessing the access destination corresponding to the identification code received from the remote controller device [column 4, lines 25-37].

As to claim 3, Grunbok et al teaches that the access destination storage means serves as means for storing a mail address as the access destination [column 6, lines 1-13].

As to claims 4, 5 and 8-11, Grunbok et al discloses that the display means of the remote controller device includes: title-displaying means for displaying a title of the information sent from the main device [column 4, lines 25-37].

As to claim 6, Grunbok et al discloses a network apparatus, comprising:

a main device linked to a network represented by the internet, and a portable remote controller device for remotely controlling the main device by means of communication, wherein the remote controller device includes [column 6 line 61 to column 7 line 10]:

Application/Control Number: 09/699,402

Art Unit: 2131

Page 5

access destination specifying means for specifying an access destination to the main device [column 4, lines 14-24]; and

display means for displaying information sent from the main device [column 5, lines 14-37], and wherein the main device includes:

access means for accessing the access destination specified by the remote controller device and obtaining information therefrom [column 5, lines 14-37]; and

information sending means for sending the information obtained by the access means to the remote controller device [column 5, lines 14-37] wherein:

the information sending means of the main device sends the information to the remote controller device at an information sending destination after appending the identification code of the remote controller device to the information [column 6, lines 20-44]; and

the remote controller device further includes display disabling means for, when the information sent from the main device to the display means is not appended with its own identification code, disabling display of the information [column 6, lines 20-44].

Art Unit: 2131

As to claims 7 and 16-20, Grunbok et al teaches that the main device and the remote controller device communicate with each other by means of infrared rays [column 6 line 61 to column 7 line 10].

As to claims 12-15, Grunbok et al discloses the network apparatus, wherein:

the information sending means of the main device sends the information to the remote controller device at an information sending destination after appending the identification code of the remote controller device to the information [column 6, lines 20-44]; and

the remote controller device further includes display disabling means for, when the information sent from the main device to the display means is not appended with its own, identification code, disabling display of the information [column 6, lines 20-44].

As to claims 24 and 25, Grunbok et al discloses storing, by the main device, and ID code, electronic mail address and password of each of the portable remote controller device and the another portable controller device in a one-to-one correspondence [column 6, lines 20-44].

Application/Control Number: 09/699,402 Page 7

Art Unit: 2131

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grunbok, Jr. et al U.S. Patent No. 6,305,603 B1 in view of Russell-Falla et al U.S. Patent No. 6,266,664.

As to claim 22, Grunbok et al discloses a method for accessing information over a network comprising:

receiving, by a main device from a portable remote controller device a request for information [column 4, lines 14-24];

obtaining, by the main device, the requested information [column 5, lines 14-37];

providing the requested information to the portable remote controller device [column 5, lines 14-37];

receiving, by the main device from the portable remote controller device, a display switching signal [column 5, lines 14-37].

Grunbok et al does not teach storing, by the main device, a setting for the portable remote controller device based on the display switching signal, wherein the determination of whether an output to the display device coupled to the main device is allowed is based on the stored setting. Grunbok et al does not teach determining whether an output to a display device coupled to the

main device is allowed. Grunbok et al does not teach disabling the output to the display device

when it is determined that the output is not allowed.

Russell-Falla et al teaches storing filtering information [column 5, lines 47-64]. Russell-

Page 8

Falla et al teaches blocking digital data from being displayed when the content is unsuitable or

potentially harmful to the user [column 5, lines 47-64].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to have modified Grunbok et al so that the set-top boxy or a

computer would have had a setting for the portable remote controller device based on the display

switching signal. There would have been a determination of whether an output to the display

device coupled to the main device is allowed is based on the stored setting. It would have been

determined whether an output to a display device coupled to the main device was allowed. The

output would have been disabled to the display device when it is determined that the output is

not allowed.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have modified Grunbok et al by the teaching of Russell-Falla et al

because it prevents minors from viewing pornographic material [column 3 line 52 to column 4

line 3].

As to claim 23, Grunbok et al teaches the method, comprising:

storing, by the main device, a setting for another portable remote

controller device based on receipt of a display switching signal from the another

portable remote controller device, wherein whether an output to the display device

coupled to the main device is allowed for information requested by the another

Application/Control Number: 09/699,402

Art Unit: 2131

portable remote controller device is based on the stored setting for the another

Page 9

portable remote controller device [column 5, lines 14-37].

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Aravind K. Moorthy whose telephone number is 571-272-3793.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aravind K Moorthy

June 24, 2007

PRIMARY FXAMULES